

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/837,847	04/18/2001	Takao Nirasawa	09792909-4995	9131
26263	7590 07/16/2004		EXAMINER	
SONNENSCHEIN NATH & ROSENTHAL LLP P.O. BOX 061080			CANTELMO, GREGG	
WACKER DRIVE STATION, SEARS TOWER			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	IL 60606-1080		1745	

DATE MAILED: 07/16/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Advisory Action	09/837,847	NIRASAWA ET AL.
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit
	Gregg Cantelmo	1745
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED 06 July 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment whicl	ation. A proper reply to a
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]	
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THe date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF		
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:	
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note be	,	,
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without canceli NOTE:	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s): The outstanding claim ob	ejections and 112 rejections.
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amendment
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for application in condition for allowance because: see		dered but does NOT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		
Claim(s) allowed:		
Claim(s) objected to:		
Claim(s) rejected: 1,3-5 and 9-11.		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appr	roved or b) disapproved by tl	he Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	
10.⊠ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>	, , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
	Marine Canto	
	Try Canto	Gregg Cantelmo Primary Examiner

Continuation of 10. Other: The amendments to the claims are not held to raise new issues with respect to the scope of the instant claims. Rather it appears that the cancellation of dependent claims and amendment of the independent claim has been presented to overcome the outstanding claim objections and 112 rejections of record. In light of these changes, Applicant has overecome the claim objections and 112 rejections presented in the previous office action. However Applicant fails to provide sufficient reasoning or evidence as to why the prior art rejections of record set forth in the previous office action does not teach, suggest and most notably render obvious the instant claims. At best Applicant makes a generic statement to the prior art rejections of record on the last page of the remarks associated with the after final amendment but Applicant's position fails to provide clear evidence or reasoning for their position. Absent such, the Examiner is not reasonably persuaded that the prior art rejections of record do not suggest or render obvious the claimed invention, thus the rejections stand.

May Canto 7/14/04